

8260/IC1

Inspector Roy Foster
c/o The Programme Officer
lstjohnhowe@hotmail.co.uk

29th January 2011

Dear Inspector,

Joint Core Strategy for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk – Inspector’s Possible Changes: Flexibility and Resilience of the JCS in relation to the Norwich Northern Distributor Road (NNDR) – issued 5 January 2011

I wish to object to the proposed changes to the Joint Core Strategy **Policy 10** and **Policy 20** because the transport strategy they outline for north-east Norwich and the adjacent area of Broadland District leave the Joint Core Strategy open to review in just five years time, creating continuing uncertainty.

You requested a ‘partial alternative Plan B’. However, the changes offered amount only to a scheduling of housing developments to deliver the original Plan A and a re-timing of the NNDR to 2016/17. As such they do not form a ‘Plan B’ which you invited the Greater Norwich Development Partnership to consider due to the uncertainty over NNDR funding at the time of the strategy’s adoption in March.

Community and environmental groups oppose the Postwick Hub and NNDR within the Joint Core Strategy as they have not been properly tested against alternative transport policy options and it has not been satisfactorily demonstrated that they will reduce congestion and deliver a sustainable transport system. They would require substantial public money (c.£130m) at a time of spending restraint.

The uncertainty surrounding the NNDR/Postwick Hub will continue to create uncertainty for the local communities affected by a proposed NDR.

Keeping alive the possibility of a NNDR/Postwick Hub through the Joint Core Strategy has encouraged Norfolk County Council to spend more public money on them. In January 2011, the County Council agreed to spend up to £1.5 million over the next two years on further NNDR work. These funds have been taken from budgets originally intended for safety and community transport schemes planned across Norfolk.

The GNDP propose a review in 2016 if the NDR has not been built. I urge you to consider the alternative of creating, at this stage, a real Plan B that has no dependency on an NNDR and Postwick Hub. Whilst this may take time, there are enough existing permissions for housing development not to be delayed during this period. A plan B is a more realistic proposal in the current economic situation, it would relieve community uncertainty, and it would not leave the JCS open to a likely review in just five years time.

Please will you hold a further day’s public hearing to consider such an alternative Plan B as this important matter was not discussed at the hearing on 9 December.

Yours faithfully

Jenny Gladstone

4 Railway Cottages
Old Lakenham
Norwich
NR1 2LZ