Draft Charging Schedules for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk: Representations Form and Guidance Notes

The preparation of the Community Infrastructure	For office use only:	
Levy is a public process and your full representation will be made public for this purpose.	Date received:	
	Rep no:	

Development Partnership

Community Infrastructure Levy

Greater Norwich Draft Charging Schedules for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk

Representations Form

Please	return	to:
--------	--------	-----

By email:

cil@gndp.org.uk

By post:

Greater Norwich Development Partnership

PO Box 3466

Norwich NR7 0DU

All comments must be received by 5pm on Monday 5 March 2012

Please read the Statement of Representations Procedure and Guidance Notes before you complete this form.

1. Personal details:		2. Agents details (if applicable)	
	d, please complete only the Title umn 1 below, but complete the full ent in column 2.		
Title		Mrs C Fountain	
First name			
Last name			
Job title			
Organisation	Wm Morrison Supermarkets plc	Peacock & Smith	
Address	Hilmore House, Gain Lane, Bradford	Suite 9c Joseph's Well Hanover Walk Leeds	
Postcode	BD3 7DL	LS3 1AB	
Telephone no.		0113 2431919	

Draft Charging Schedules for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk: Representations Form and Guidance Notes **Email address** Cassie.fountain@peacockandsmith.co.uk Q1. Do you consider the Council(s) has followed a correct approach in developing the Draft Charging Schedule as required by the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended)? Yes No I would like my representation to be considered for (please tick all that apply): Broadland District Council's Draft Charging Schedule...... x Norwich City Council's Draft Charging Schedule..... x South Norfolk Council's Draft Charging Schedule.....x If no: Did you raise this issue at the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule a. Consultation Stage? Yes No X b. Please give details of what change(s) you consider are necessary, having regard to the legal requirements for a charging schedule and, if not raised previously, why not. You will need to say why you think this change will make the Draft Charging Schedule legally compliant. It will be very helpful if you could also put forward your suggested revised wording of any text. Please note your comment should briefly cover all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support or justify the representation and the suggested change as, after this stage, further submissions will only be possible at the request of the examiner, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination. Please be as precise as possible. Only information that relates to the representation will be accepted. Please add your comments here We wish to reiterate our previous comments. In particular, we object to: The significantly lower CIL rate for retail developments below 2,000 sq.m compared to those above that threshold. This will unreasonably favour smaller scale retail developments over larger which goes beyond viability concerns and conflicts with national guidance. Having two rates for new retail development of different sizes is not reasonable or properly justified. A single rate for new retail development over 100 sq.m should be used instead. The proposed CIL rate of £135 per sq.m for new retail developments over 2,000 sq.m is very high, and for a large foodstore (of around 7,400sq.m) will result in a CIL charge of around £1m which is excessive. A levy of this level is likely to render future large-scale retail developments unviable, particularly when taking into account other costs for local infrastructure works and other contributions required as part of typical S106 Agreements (eg highways works). It is therefore recommended that one CIL rate should be set for all retail development of over 100 sq. m and that the charging level should be amended, and full justification for the new figure should be given to ensure that all relevant factors

have been taken into consideration.

Q2.	Please state in the table below which part of the Draft Charging Schedule(s) you have further comment on.					
	I would like my representation to be considered for (please tick):					
	Broadland District Council's Draft Charging Schedule X					
	Norwich City C	ouncil's Draft Charging Schedule	X			
	South Norfolk Council's Draft Charging ScheduleX					
	Paragraph e.g. 1.1	Comment				
	Please enter the paragraph number here	Please enter your comment here				
	4.	One rate for all retail development above imposed, and the rate reduced to take all anticipated S106 contributions) into account	relevant factors (such as			
Supporting documents You can support your comment with documents. Please refer to the guidance notes if you wish to submit documents. Please list any documents that you are sending to support your comment.						
	Please add your comments here					
Notifi	cation requests	8				
In line be:	with the Statem	nent of Representations procedure, p	please indicate if you wish to			
	heard by the Examiner					
	notified that the Draft Charging Schedule has been submitted to the Examiner in accordance with Section 212 of the Planning Act 2008					
	notified of the publication of the recommendations of the Examiner and the reasons for those recommendations					
	notified of the approval of the Charging Schedule by the Charging Authority(s)					
Signa	ture:					
Signa	ature:		Date:			
ND: A	nignoturo is nollino	uired on forms returned electronically	5/3/2012			

NB: A signature is not required on forms returned electronically

Please email to cil@gndp.org.uk or post to Greater Norwich Development Partnership, PO Box 3466, Norwich, NR7 0DU