## Greater Norwich Development Partnership

# Topic Paper: Green Infrastructure and Recreational Open Space

Joint Core Strategy for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk June 2011

Jobs, homes, prosperity for local people









#### Contents

1	Purpose of this paper	3
2	Recreational Open Space	3
	2.1 Availability of open space	3
	2.2 Quantitative Assumptions	4
	2.3 Funding Overview and Cost Assumptions	4
	2.4 Alternative Delivery Mechanisms	6
	2.5 Summary	7
3	Strategic Green Infrastructure	8
	3.1 Assumptions	8
	3.2 Funding Overview and Costs	9
	3.3 Summary	9
Αį	ppendices	
	A. Strategic Green Infrastructure Identified	10

#### 1. Purpose of this paper

Green Infrastructure and recreational open space will form an important component of future development in Greater Norwich. New residential and commercial development brings with it an additional demand for green space and recreational facilities and therefore it is essential that adequate provision is made for its delivery.

The Greater Norwich Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study (2009) assessed the full infrastructure requirements associated with the delivery of new homes and employment development. The study will support the Community Infrastructure Levy charging schedule for the Greater Norwich area. There were however some limitations in the study, certain assumptions were made particularly around the open space provision, resulting in an overestimation of certain costs. This paper provides an updated evaluation of costs for green infrastructure and open space requirements over the period of the Joint Core Strategy (2008-2026).

#### 2. Recreational Open Space

#### 2.1 Availability of Open Space

The three districts have a slightly different approach towards open space provision, particularly in relation to children's play space. Each district has carried out an assessment of open space, sports and recreation facilities (which is PPG 17 compliant). The recommendations for provision standards set out in these assessments are included in the table below.

Table 1 - Average level of recreational open space available within the three districts per 1000 population

Ha/1000 Population	Broadland Broadland PPG17 Open Spaces Indoor Sports & Community Recreation Assessment	Norwich Norwich Open Space Needs Assessment	South Norfolk South Norfolk PPG17 Open Spaces, Indoor Sports and Community Recreation Assessment
Parks and Gardens	1.13	0.62	0.98
Natural and semi natural green space (included as part of the Strategic GI Projects)	3.74	2.46	5.08
Informal / amenity open space	0.22	1	0.71
Allotments and community gardens	0.16	0.44	0.11
Provision for children and young people (stand alone)	0.17	0.16	0.84
Outdoor sports facilities and recreation grounds	0.97	1.01	1.03
TOTAL	6.39	5.69	8.75

The Greater Norwich Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study estimated the cost of providing open space within Greater Norwich, based on the requirements set out in the table above. This study showed that open space costs in South Norfolk were disproportionately higher than Norwich and Broadland. This disparity is predominantly as a result of the greater level of currently available open space within South Norfolk, which the PPG17 Assessment suggested be replicated in future development. This level of availability is considered to be atypical and based upon a variety of factors, including:

- the provision of sub-regional facilities within the district (e.g. Whitlingham Country Park)
- the large number of rural parishes/settlements, many of which contain local open spaces that serve a relatively small population; and;
- historical events which are unlikely to be repeated e.g. designation of common land.

It is not considered the levels of current availability within South Norfolk represent a reasonable estimation of future provision, as the larger growth locations are likely to demand a different type of provision to cater for more intensive use, whilst dispersed, small-scale growth in rural areas is likely to trigger the need for enhancement of existing provision rather than additional open space.

#### 2.2 Quantitative Assumptions

In order to maintain consistency within assumptions and ensure that those assumptions are based on a realistically achievable standard of open space, a single set of open space assumptions has been used to estimate probable infrastructure costs. The current availability of open space in Broadland represents an appropriate mid-range and therefore the calculations within this assessment have been based on an assumption that new open space will come forward at comparable levels to these existing quantitative levels. Levels of play and outdoor sports facilities in Norwich City Council's PPG17 are marginally lower than Broadland, however this would only reduce the overall cost by a proportionally small amount.

Broadland's average open space levels have been compared to the demographic projections of the increase in GNDP population in order to estimate and cost the total open space requirement across the GNDP area over the next 15 years.

For the purposes of this assessment it has been assumed that natural and semi natural open space will be provided through strategic green infrastructure projects rather than as part of a process of bespoke delivery related to construction levels.

#### 2.3 Funding Overview and Costing Assumptions

The level of contribution sought for provision of play space for children and young people has been based on the methodology used in Broadland

Recreational Open Space SPD, rather than the figures in table 1 in order to provide a more detail breakdown of facilities.

The cost of land has not been included in the table below. On sites with five dwellings or more where play and open space facilities will be delivered off site, Broadland currently collect an average of £4,000 per house (this is varies depending on the size of the houses and location of the development).

The level of contribution sought for informal open space is based on standards set out in the Broadland PPG17 Assessment.

Standard costs for sports and play facilities set out in the table below have been taken from Sport England, the National Playing Fields Association and the Lawn Tennis Association.

Table 2 - The table below summarises the requirement for facility type, size and cost per 1000 population.

# SUMMARY per 1000 population (costs include maintenance over the 15 years of the plan "This table is an initial high-level overview of the requirements. Figures are indicative and are likely to vary in light of future economic, market and policy changes."

Facility Type Facility Details Unit area Total are (m <sup>2</sup> ) including	ea (m <sup>-</sup> )								
Facility Type   Facility Details   (m²)   including									
	buffer Total Cost								
Provision for children and young people									
4 x LAP (Local 0-6 years, activity zone a minimum									
area for play) of 10mx10m, low key games 400 160	00 £62,613								
4-8 years, activity alone a minimum									
2 x LEAP (Local of 400m <sup>2</sup> , 5 types of play equipment,									
equipped area small games area and impact									
for play) absorbing surface where necessary 800 320	00 £115,733								
Older children, activity zone an									
minimum of 1000m <sup>2</sup> , 8 types of play									
0.4 x NEAP equipment, opportunities for ball									
(Neighbourhood games or wheeled activities and									
equipped area impact absorbing surface where									
for play) necessary 400 335	58 £64,758								
1 x MUGA (Multi 36m x 18m, bituminous macadam,									
use games surround fencing and goals,									
area) floodlighting 240 240	0 £103,997								
Sub total 2,248 8,39	98 £347,101								
Outdoor Sport									
Grass pitch 110m x 75m:									
amelioration of surface with sand									
1.5 x Grass and fertiliser, some grading and									
pitch drainage 12,375	£286,850								
Two courts (in one block side by									
side, 34.75m x 31.7m), porous									
1 Outdoor macadam surface, acrylic spray									
Tennis Court   coat, Floodlighting   1,102	£98,684								
38.4m x 38.4m 6 rink bowling green									
1 Bowling including ditch, bank and path, to full									
Green specification, turfed 1,475	£260,177								
Sub total 9,690	£645,711								
Informal Open Space									

Allotments and community	To include perimeter railings, gate, gravel footpaths, water supply and distribution, power supply and distribution, contractors overheads		
gardens	and profit	1,600	£25,600
Informal / Amenity Open Space	To include cultivated and seeded areas, provision for paths cycleway / bridleways, trees and miscellaneous furniture	2,200	£44,000
Double and	To include general grassland (75%), paving and walkways (10%), formal gardens (5%), Shrub beds (10%),		
Parks and Grassland	feature building, tree planting, fencing.	11,300	£565,000
Sub total		15,100	£634,600
Total		26,491	£1,627,412

Table 3 - Population projections for GNDP districts between 2008- 2026

(Source 2006 based population and household projections for Norfolk, Nov 2009)

Area	Number of People
Broadland	18,300
Norwich	20,300
South Norfolk	25,460
Total	64,060

Using the population projections set out in Table 3 the total cost for open space requirements will be £104.2 million.

#### 2.4 Alternative Delivery Mechanisms

Large development sites will typically provide an element of recreational open space within or adjacent to the development. However, if open space facilities are not provided on site by the developer, it is possible significant cost savings can be achieved by delivering open space alongside other infrastructure, as suggested by the EDAW study, such as:

- delivering outdoor sports facilities as part of new or expanded schools; the facilities could then be available for community use out of school hours. It is expected approximately 17.35ha of new playing fields will be delivered though expanded or new schools. This equals approximately 21 football pitches, making a saving of just over £4 million; or
- enhancing existing sites with improved facilities to accommodate more intensive use/providing alternative uses; or
- Facilities provided though private clubs

This collaborative approach has been successful in the past; for example the first phase of the Dereham Road Bus Rapid Transit corridor where smaller Green Infrastructure projects were funded as part of the overall larger transport scheme or the new Costessey Centre facilities at Long Water Lane, which where provided as an alternative to additional outdoor pitches at Lodge Farm, Costessey.

#### 2.5 Summary

This paper provides a reasonable estimation of the costs of recreational open space required to support sustainable development if growth takes place as it has been predicted in the Joint Core Strategy. These are an initial high-level overview of the requirements. Figures are indicative and are likely to vary in light of future economic, market and policy changes.

There are likely to be a number of cost saving efficiencies, some of which have been explored in this topic paper. Existing play and open space facilities have not been considered as part of this paper. Therefore it is possible fractions of the new population can use these existing facilities which are not currently at capacity.

#### 3. Strategic Green Infrastructure

Strategic green infrastructure should be regarded as a long-term framework for sustainable development, protecting the natural and historic environment and enhancing the distinctive qualities that give the Greater Norwich area its special character.

The growth planned in Greater Norwich will place additional pressure on the existing green infrastructure networks. Therefore additional green areas will be needed to cater for this additional demand to ensure existing sites are not damaged by the added visitor pressure.

#### 3.1 Assumptions

Officers from the districts and county council have been working on strategic green infrastructure requirements for the North East, South West and Norwich. The following elements of strategic green infrastructure have been identified:

#### - Walking and Cycle Routes

A network of walking and cycle routes have been identified (full list in appendix A) as either needing improvement or new routes created. This totals 55km of improved or new cycle and walking routes

The following standard charges per metre of new or enhanced access routes have been applied:

Creation of new cycle and walking routes: £100 / meter Enhancement of existing cycle routes: £50 / meter (Costs based on Mid Beds Planning Obligations Strategy SPD).

Total estimated cost: £4,000,000

#### - Small Strategic Projects

The Greater Norwich Green Infrastructure Steering Group has proposed a series of small strategic projects which have been prioritised for the next 5 years (full list in appendix A). The steering group includes representatives from Natural England, Norfolk Wildlife Trust, Environment Agency, Forestry Commission, RSPB, Local Authorities and Developers. The estimated cost for these smaller strategic projects is £2,330,000. To carry the short term projects across 15 years of the plan this figure has been multiplied by 3.

Total estimated cost: £7,000,000

#### - Larger Strategic Projects

Larger strategic projects (which are identified in the Joint Core Strategy) have been given a total estimated cost of £1,000,000 using information from Norfolk Biodiversity Action Plan, Northamptonshire Biodiversity Partnership and previous project costs.

Total estimated cost: £1,000,000

### Visitor Pressure mitigation measures on European and Ramsar designated sites

Visitor surveys are planned over the summer at a number of internationally designated sites to assess visitor numbers and patterns. Following this analysis will be carried out to identify what impact housing growth in the GNDP area may have on these sites. At the moment it is unclear what level of mitigation measures will be required. It is estimated that £1,000,000 should be allocated for this work at the moment, but this figure may change in the future following the analysis work.

Total estimated cost: £1,000,000

#### 3.2 Funding Overview and Costs

All the costs above are estimates; therefore an additional 20% has been added on to each total to ensure adequate funding is available for all future Strategic Green Infrastructure.

An additional £5,000,000 contingency has been included for Strategic Green Infrastructure projects due to the uncertainly of many of the projects.

Maintenance costs have not been included with the strategic green infrastructure projects. Maintenance and management issues are currently being investigated; one option would be to set up a community land trusts to perform this function.

Table 4 - Strategic Green Infrastructure costs 2008-2026

SUMMARY							
Project	Total Cost						
Cycle Routes	£500,000						
Existing walking access links to be improved (NE)	£2,160,000						
New Access links needed (NE)	£1,340,000						
Total cost for short term projects (5 Years) = £2,330,000 x 3 (for the 15 Year period) =							
£6,999,000)	£7,000,000						
Long Term Strategic Projects	£1,000,000						
Visitor Pressure	£1,000,000						
Contingency	£5,000,000						
Total	£18,000,000						

#### 3.3 Summary

This paper provides a reasonable estimation of the costs of green infrastructure required to support sustainable if the growth takes place as it has been predicted in the Joint Core Strategy.

The GNDP Green Infrastructure Steering Group will continue to meet to ensure that the appropriate levels and types of green infrastructure will be delivered alongside new development.

#### <u>Appendix A – Strategic Green Infrastructure Identified</u>

LIPP	Project Name	Discription	Size (ha /	Approximate	Assumptions	
Ref			m²)	total cost (20%)		
						Source
	Cycle Routes					
	Proposed new Cycle Route	2km of cycle				
	Rackheath to Wroxham -	route/improvements (3m wide)				
	Route from Norwich to	from the edge of Rackheath				Cost based on Bedfordshire and
NI/A	Rackheath will be developed	eco community to the edge of	0.0	0040 000	C400 /	Luton - Creation of new cycle routes
N/A	as part of the BRT route.	Wroxham	0.6	£240,000	£100 / meter	£100 / meter
	Proposed new Cycle Route - Link between Hethersett					
	and Wymondham	This will be done as part of the				
N/A	and Wymonanam	BRT corridor				
	Improved Cycle signage in	Awaiting meeting with the				
	South West	access group and cycle officer				
		to discuss where improvements				
N/A		and signage is needed		£240,000		
	North East Walking	Exisiting walking access				
	Networks	links to be improved				Cost based on Bedfordshire and
		Spixworth - Crostwick - 3.2 km				Luton - Enhancement of existing
N/A		(2m width)	0.64	£192,000	£50 / meter	strategic routes £50 / meter
14//		(ZIII Widdi)	0.04	2102,000	2007 Motor	Cost based on Bedfordshire and
		Rackheath loop - 3.2 km (2m				Luton - Enhancement of existing
N/A		width)	0.64	£192,000	£50 / meter	strategic routes £50 / meter
		,		·		Cost based on Bedfordshire and
		South Wroxham going east -				Luton - Enhancement of existing
N/A		1.3 km (2m width)	0.26	£78,000	£50 / meter	strategic routes £50 / meter
						Cost based on Bedfordshire and
N1/A		Salhouse - Woodbastwick - 4	0.0	00.40.000	050 / 22-21-2	Luton - Enhancement of existing
N/A		km (2m width)	8.0	£240,000	£50 / meter	strategic routes £50 / meter
						Cost based on Bedfordshire and
		Salhouse - Great and Little	0.04	0400.000	050 /	Luton - Enhancement of existing
N/A		Plumstead - 3.2 km (2m width)	0.64	£192,000	£50 / meter	strategic routes £50 / meter
		Dussingdale - Great and Little				Cost based on Bedfordshire and Luton - Enhancement of existing
N/A		Plumstead - 4 km (2m width)	0.8	£240.000	£50 / meter	strategic routes £50 / meter
111/7		Great and Little Plumstead -	0.0	2240,000	LOO / ITIELEI	Cost based on Bedfordshire and
		Little Plumstead - 1.1 km (2m				Luton - Enhancement of existing
N/A		width)	0.22	£66,000	£50 / meter	strategic routes £50 / meter

N/A		Blofield - Acle (via Burlingham) - 8 km (2m width)  Woodbastwick - Burlingham	1.6	£480,000	£50 / meter	Cost based on Bedfordshire and Luton - Enhancement of existing strategic routes £50 / meter Cost based on Bedfordshire and
N/A		(via Ranworth and South Walsham) - 8 km (2m width)	1.6	£480,000	£50 / meter	Luton - Enhancement of existing strategic routes £50 / meter
	North East Walking Networks	New Access links needed				
N/A		Crostwick - New Hainford (Lamb's Holes) - 5.6 km (2m width)	1.12	£672,000	£100 / meter	Cost based on Bedfordshire and Luton - Delivery of new strategic routes £100 / meter
N/A		Great Plumstead - 1.1 km (2m width)	2.2	£132,000	£100 / meter	Cost based on Bedfordshire and Luton - Delivery of new strategic routes £100 / meter
N/A		Little Plumstead - Blofield Heath - 1.2 km (2m width)	2.4	£144,000	£100 / meter	Cost based on Bedfordshire and Luton - Delivery of new strategic routes £100 / meter
N/A		Beeston Park north east towards Wroxham - 3.2 km (2m width)	6.4	£384,000	£100 / meter	Cost based on Bedfordshire and Luton - Delivery of new strategic routes £100 / meter
	Short Term Strategic Projects (Next 5 Years)					
	South West Ecological Networks	Link the ancient woodland of the area between Norwich, Wymondham and the River Yare. It will create buffers around existing woods and establish new woodland areas, linking sites and improving			Based on information provided in the	
GI 1	Norfolk and Norwich	long-term management.  The project will create one or		£250,000	proforma	
	Hospital Health Woods	more community woodlands and health walks between the Norfolk and Norwich Hospital, UEA, the Southern Bypass and the new housing development			Based on information provided in the	
GI 2		at Cringleford.		£80,000	proforma	

	Regeneration of the Wooded Ridge – Norwich	This project aims to remind the community of the significance of the wooded ridge around the fringes of Norwich; to accentuate strong visual, historic and ecological landmarks, especially				
		highlighting the north of the city			Donad an information provided in the	
GI 3		and following a 10-25m contour band.	43	£100,000	Based on information provided in the proforma	
	Yare Valley Walk / Parkway	This project aims to develop the unifying concept of a River Parkway, a linear country park based on the Yare river corridor and the existing Yare Valley				
GI 4		Walk between Bawburgh and Whitlingham Country Park.	0.0649	£100.000	Based on information provided in the proforma	
GI 5	Churchyards Health and Heritage Walks	This heritage-led project aims to create discovery routes linking the city centre's medieval churches. This project will establish a network of permanently marked routes.	0.0040	£120,000	Based on information provided in the proforma	
GI 6	North West Norwich Forest	This project seeks to open existing Forestry Commission woodland for open public access. The freehold sites already have low level access, but these facilities could be developed with proper car parks, waymarking, access for all routes etc.		£200,000	Based on information provided in the proforma	
GI 7	Yare Valley Walk improvements – UEA to Eaton	Opportunity to continue existing boardwalk to Eaton. To improve access through out the Yare Valley Walk for pedestrians, improving existing links and creating new ones and improving sites for access and bio-diversity		£150,000	Based on information provided in the proforma	

	Mulbarton – Swardeston	1	l I		1
	Green Way	To develop/acquire a corridor of			
		land to create a route for			
		cyclists and pedestrians linking			
		Mulbarton and Swardston to			
		Lakenham Way. The only route			
		currently available involves the		Based on information provided in the	
GI 8		use of the busy B1113.	£180,000	proforma	
	Yare and Wensum Valley	To develop a corridor of land to		From the state of	
	Link	create a route linking Rivers			
	<del></del>	Yare and Wensum to connect			
		to the Marriott's Way. The			
		project will focus on accessible			
		green space and improved			
		biodiversity opportunities. The			
		type of connectivity is a priority			
		in the Rights of Way			
		Improvement Plan for Norfolk			
		and offers a primary network			
		opportunity within the GI		Based on information provided in the	
GI 9		Strategy	£180,000	proforma	
	Marriott's Way Route	3,	·	·	
	Enhancements				
		The proposed project will			
		enhance the public enjoyment			
		of Marriott's Way; increase the			
		use of the route and		Deced as information are deleting the	
0140		understanding of its biodiversity	620,000	Based on information provided in the	
GI 10	Too Vollar Divo Wor	and heritage.	£30,000	proforma	
	Tas Valley Blue Way – Phase 1	To improve the quality and accessibility of the Tas Valley			
	rnase i	including biodiversity and			
		linking communities with green			
		space though improved signage and infrastructure.			
		Opportunities exist for			
		accessible green space but are			
		often difficult to reach or are not			
				Record on information provided in the	
GI 11		clearly signed from settlements and built-up areas	£200,000	Based on information provided in the proforma	
GIII		and built-up areas	£200,000	proioina	

	Wensum River Parkwark –				Based on information provided in the	
GI 12	missing link			£30,000	proforma	
	South Norfolk Claylands	The project takes an umbrella				
		approach, linking existing				
		biodiversity initiatives; it also				
		seeks to support community				
		action for wildlife and to				
		encourage greater awareness				
		of the landscape history and				
0.10		biodiversity of the unique and			Based on information provided in the	
GI 13		ancient claylands landscape.		£210,000	proforma	
	Long Term Strategic					
	Projects					
GI-	Enhance public access to					
14	Yare Valley and Bawburgh				Based on information provided in the	
	Lakes			£168,000	proforma	
	Retention and re-creation of				Soil inversion will not always be	
	Moushold Heath and links				necessary depending on the ph of the	
	to the surrounding				soil. Cost of recent recreation of	
	countryside				heathland and Mousehold - 2542m² soil	
					inversion (£1,625) with link to existing	
					heath and scrub clearance (£3,850).	
					According to the Norfolk Biodiversity	
					Action Plan, there is a target to extend	
					the heathland by 10% of the current	Course. North arounter of him
					estimated 2,500ha = 250ha for the whole of Norfolk (250ha / 7authorities =	Source: Northamptonshire Biodiversity Partnership. To
					35.7ha each x 3(GNDP authorities) =	establish 10ha of LWS - standard
					107ha. Includes 15 Years of	heathland adjacent to existing
GI 15			107	£53,040	management.	heathland £350/ha + £450/ha/yr)

GI 16	Broads Buffer Zone			£600,000		
		Establishment of 25ha of wet woodland on unwooded sites or by conversion of plantations every 5 years for 15 years =			In the Norfolk Biodiversity Action Plan for wet woodland, the regional target for creation of new wet woodlands is 150ha shared between the 6 counties in the East of England biodiversity forum (Norfolk, Suffolk, Essex, Cambridgeshire, Bedfordshire and Hartfordshire). Yearly management	Source: Northamptonshire Biodiversity Partnership. To establish 10ha of LWS - standard wet woodland on non-wooded or plantation sites £1,500/ha + £200/ha/yr (£9,000 initial then £1,200 per year). Also Norfolk Biodiversity Action Plan for Wet
GI17	Wet Woodlands	75ha	75	£138,600	calculated on 15 year period	Woodlands.

For more information or if you require this document in another format or language, please phone:

01603 431133 for Broadland District Council

0344 980 3333 for Norwich City Council

0808 168 3000 for South Norfolk Council

Topic Paper: Green Infrastructure and Recreational Open Space

Joint Core strategy for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk June 2011

