Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2011 (amended) Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule Consultation 3 October 2011 – 14 November 2011

How to respond to this consultation

The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) is a new levy that local authorities in England and Wales can charge on new developments in their area. The money will be used to support development by funding infrastructure that the council, local community and neighbourhoods want – for example, new or safer road schemes, public transport and walking and cycling schemes, park improvements or a community hall.

The system is very simple. It applies to most new buildings and charges are fixed based on the size, type and location of the new development.

The three councils of Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk have chosen to work together as the Greater Norwich Development Partnership (GNDP) and adopt a coordinated approach to the implementation of CIL. In order to comply with the regulations, three separate Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules have been published for comment. These are almost identical and they share the same evidence base. The only difference in the schedules relates to the geographical charging zones, Norwich is entirely in Zone A and Broadland and South Norfolk include areas in both Zone A and Zone B.

This is the first stage in consultation for setting a CIL for the three districts.

The Broadland District Council Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule looks like this: The Norwich City Council Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule looks like this: The South Norfolk Council Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule looks like this:







Getting involved

The consultation documents are:

- Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule for Broadland
- Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule for Norwich
- Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule for South Norfolk

As part of this consultation a number of documents providing supporting evidence have been published:

- The explanatory document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'
- Viability Advice on a CIL/ Tariff for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk (GVA, December 2010)
- Charging Zones Schedule Report (GVA, July 2011)
- Topic Paper: Green Infrastructure and Recreational Open Space (GNDP, June 2011)

There is also earlier background information supporting this consultation:

- Joint Core Strategy for Broadland Norwich and South Norfolk adopted March 2011
- Infrastructure Needs and Funding Study (EDAW/ AECOM 2009)
- Local Investment Plan and Programme for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk v4 June 2011

All these documents are available on the GNDP website, at www.gndp.org.uk.

The consultation documents and evidence can be viewed at each of the district council offices.

The consultation documents will also be available at libraries, at the Broads Authority offices and at the Norfolk County Council offices at County Hall. Where facilities are available evidence can be accessed via the GNDP website, www.gndp.org.uk.

The Department of Communities and Local Government has produced a helpful guide to the Community Infrastructure Levy that can be found on their website:

http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/cilsummary

You can respond to this consultation by email or by post:

The Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules and the supporting evidence are open for six weeks of consultation from **3 October 2011** to **14 November 2011**. Consultation responses must be received by **5pm** on **Monday 14 November 2011** in order to be considered.

A response form is available on the GNDP website at www.gndp.org.uk. If possible, please use this form to assist us in analysing your response and in publishing them correctly.

For more information contact the GNDP:

tel: 01603 430144 **email:** cil@gndp.org.uk

When responding to the consultation you can comment on one, two or all three schedules. You can:

- Use one form to comment on the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule for one district using one response form, or to give the same comment on the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules for two or all districts or,
- Use more than one form to give different comments for each district's Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule that you are commenting on

Please note that comments cannot be treated as confidential. All responses to this consultation will be made available as public documents. Unfortunately we are only able to acknowledge emailed responses, but all comments will be carefully considered.

Forms and comments can be:

emailed to: cil@gndp.org.uk

posted to: GNDP, PO Box 3466, Norwich, NR7 7NX

hand delivered: to your local district council office:

- Broadland District Council, Thorpe Lodge, 1 Yarmouth Road, Norwich NR7 0DU
- Norwich City Council, City Hall, St Peter's Street, Norwich, NR2 1NH
- South Norfolk Council, South Norfolk House, Swan Lane, Long Stratton, NR15 2XE

Evidence
Please use this section to give us any comments you have on the evidence:
 The explanatory document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context' Viability Advice on a CIL/ Tariff for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk (GVA, December 2010) Charging Zones Schedule Report (GVA, August 2011) Topic Paper: Green Infrastructure and Recreational Open Space (GNDP, June 2011)
Question 1: Having considered the evidence do you agree the appropriate balance between the desirability of funding from CIL and impacts on the economic viability have been met?
Yes No Delase add any comments below
My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes): Broadland Norwich South All

Geographica	zones
Please use thi	s section to give us any comments about the boundaries of the charging zones shown in appendix 1 of the Preliminary Draft Charging
Non-resident	ial development zone boundary
Question 2:	It is intended that, for non-residential development, one charging area will apply to the administrative areas of Broadland District Council, Norwich City Council and South Norfolk Council. Do you agree with this approach?
Yes	□ No □
Please add ar	y comments below
My answer ap	plies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):
Broadland	Norwich South All
Residential d	evelopment zone boundaries
Question 3:	The viability evidence supports two charging zones for residential development, Zone A and Zone B. The Norwich City Council area falls entirely in Zone A. Broadland District Council and South Norfolk Council areas are within Zone A and Zone B. Do you agree with the boundaries for the charging zones?
Yes	□ No □
Please add an	y comments below
My answer ap	plies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):
Broadland	South All

Charging Schedule
Please use this section to comment on the rates of charge as shown in the table on page 2 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule
Residential development – Zone A
Question 4a: It is intended that the rate of charge for residential development in Zone A will be within a range of £135 to £160 per m2.
What do you think the rate should be?
Question 4b: What is your justification for this rate?
My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes): Broadland Norwich South All

Residential development – Zone B:
Question 5a: It is intended that the rate of charge for residential development in the Zone B will be £75 per m2. Do you agree with this approach?
Yes No Delase add any comments below
Question 5b: If you answered no to the above question:
What should the charge be?
What is your justification for this rate?
My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes): Broadland Norwich South All

	evelopment – zor It is intended that shared-user gara to £35 per m2.	t the rate o	f charge for		U (
What do you the should be?	nink the rate				
Question 6b:	What is your justif	fication for	this rate?		
My answer ap	plies to (please tic		ore of the bo South Norfolk	oxes):	

Large conven	ience goods based supermarkets and supermarkets
Question 7a:	It is intended that the rate of charge for large convenience goods based supermarkets and superstores of 2,000m2 gross or more will be £135 per m2. Do you agree with this approach?
Yes Please add an	No 🗶 y comments below
OR IECTION T	TO THE DRODOSED OIL CHARGES FOR FOR LARGE

OBJECTION TO THE PROPOSED CIL CHARGES FOR FOR LARGE CONVENEINCE GOODS BASED SUPERMARKETS OF 2,000 SQ.M GROSS OR MORE

On behalf of our clients, Wm Morrison Supermarkets plc, we OBJECT to the proposed CIL rate charge for large convenience goods based supermarkets and superstores of 2,000 sq.m gross or more identified in the Table at Section 4 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule.

In particular, we OBJECT to the following matters:

- The significantly lower CIL rate of £25 per sq.m for retail developments < 2,000 sq.m gross will unreasonably favour smaller scale retail developments over larger and appears to support a decision by the charging authority (Council) to support smaller units which goes beyond viability considerations alone and conflicts with national guidance. It is therefore considered that separate rates for new retail development of different sizes is not reasonable or properly justified, and has the effect of conferring selective advantage within the retail development sector. It is suggested that the rates are amended to provide one, reduced flat rate for new retail development providing over 100 sq.m gross internal floor area.
- The proposed CIL rate of £135 per sq.m for new retail developments of 2,000 sq.m gross or more is very high, and for a large foodstore (of around 7,400 sq.m GIA) will result in a CIL charge of £0.999m which is excessive. A levy of this level is likely to render future large-scale retail developments unviable, particularly when taking in to account other costs for local infrastructure works and other contributions required as part of typical s106 Agreements (such as highway works which can typically be very expensive to ensure large scale retail developments function well). This CIL level is also significantly higher than a figure recently approved in a similar document for Newark and Sherwood District Council, which adopted a figure of £125 per sq.m in Newark Growth Point and £100 per sq.m elsewhere in the District.

Suggested Change to the CIL rate for Retail Development

It is suggested that the Council should adopt one CIL rate for all retail development providing more than 100 sq.m additional (new) gross internal floorspace, and that the charging level should be amended and full justification for the new figure should be given to ensure that all relevant factors have been taken in to consideration.

We reserve the right to comme document.	nt further at later stages of preparation of this
Question 7b: If you answered	no to the above question:
What should the charge be?	(See comments above)
What is your justification for this	s rate?
My answer applies to (please ti	ick one or more of the boxes):
Broadland Norwi	ich 🗷 South 🗶 All 🗶

Other retail and	d assembly	and leisur	e developme	ents		
Question 8a:	It is intended and leisure	ed that the reduction eduction	rate of charge	e for all other 25 per m2 (ir	retail and assencluding shared	•
Yes \square	No	×				
Please add any	comments I	below				
OD IECTION TO	THE DDA	DOCED CII	CHARCES	COD DETAI	II LICEC	

On behalf of our clients, Wm Morrison Supermarkets plc, we OBJECT to the proposed CIL rate charge for retail uses identified in the Table at Section 4 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule.

In particular, we OBJECT to the following matters:

- The significantly lower CIL rate of £25 per sq.m for retail developments < 2,000 sq.m gross will unreasonably favour smaller scale retail developments over larger and appears to support a decision by the charging authority (Council) to support smaller units which goes beyond viability considerations alone and conflicts with national guidance. It is therefore considered that separate rates for new retail development of different sizes is not reasonable or properly justified, and has the effect of conferring selective advantage within the retail development sector. It is suggested that the rates are amended to provide one, reduced flat rate for new retail development providing over 100 sq.m gross internal floor area.
- The proposed CIL rate of £135 per sq.m for new retail developments of 2,000 sq.m gross or more is very high, and for a large foodstore (of around 7,400 sq.m GIA) will result in a CIL charge of £0.999m which is excessive. A levy of this level is likely to render future large-scale retail developments unviable, particularly when taking in to account other costs for local infrastructure works and other contributions required as part of typical s106 Agreements (such as highway works which can typically be very expensive to ensure large scale retail developments function well). This CIL level is also significantly higher than a figure recently approved in a similar document for Newark and Sherwood District Council, which adopted a figure of £125 per sq.m in Newark Growth Point and £100 per sq.m elsewhere in the District.

Suggested Change to the CIL rate for Retail Development

It is suggested that the Council should adopt one CIL rate for all retail development providing more than 100 sq.m additional (new) gross internal floorspace, and that the charging level should be amended and full justification for the new figure should be given to ensure that all relevant factors have been taken in to consideration.

We reserve the right to comment further at later stages of preparation of this document.

Question 8b: If you answered	no to the above question:
What should the charge be?	(See comments above)
What is your justification for this	rate?
My answer applies to (please tic	•
Broadland X Norwi	ch 🗷 South 🗷 All 🕱

Community uses Question 9a: It is intended that the rates of charge for all other Community Uses will be £0 per m2. Do you agree with this approach?
Yes No Delase add any comments below
Question 9b: If you answered no to the above question:
What should the charge be?
What is your justification for this rate?
My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes): Broadland Norwich Norfolk All

Other types of development
Question 10a: It is intended that the rates of charge for all other types of development (including shared-user garages) covered by the CIL
regulations will be £5 per m2. Do you agree with this approach?
Yes No
Please add any comments below
Question 10b: If you answered no to the above question:
What should the charge be?
What is your justification for this rate?
My answer applies to (please tick one or more of the boxes):
Broadland Norwich South All
Norfolk Norfolk

There are other issues we would like your views on, though these are not part of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedules.

Discretionary relief
The approach to discretionary relief can be found on page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 12 of the 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'.
Question 11 Do you agree with the approach to Discretionary Relief?
Yes
Please add any comments below
My answer applies to (please mark one or more of the boxes):
Broadland Norwich South Norfolk All
Staging of payments
The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'.
The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document
The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'. Question 12: Do you have any comments about the draft policy
The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'.
The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'. Question 12: Do you have any comments about the draft policy
The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'. Question 12: Do you have any comments about the draft policy Yes No
The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'. Question 12: Do you have any comments about the draft policy Yes No
The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'. Question 12: Do you have any comments about the draft policy Yes No
The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'. Question 12: Do you have any comments about the draft policy Yes No
The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'. Question 12: Do you have any comments about the draft policy Yes No
The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'. Question 12: Do you have any comments about the draft policy Yes No Please add any comments below
The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'. Question 12: Do you have any comments about the draft policy Yes No Please add any comments below My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes): South Norwich South All
The approach to the staging of payments can be found in page 3 of the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and in section 11 and appendix 4 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'. Question 12: Do you have any comments about the draft policy Yes No Please add any comments below My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes):

Payment in kind								
Within the GNDP area, where land is required within a development to provide built infrastructure to support that development (such as a school) it will be expected that land transfer will be at no cost to the local authorities and will not be accepted as a CIL payment in kind. Where the facility is needed to serve more than one development, any land transfer over and above that needed for the specific development would be regarded as payment in kind of CIL. The approach to payment in kind can be found on page 3 of the Preliminary draft charging schedule and in section 12 of the document 'Community Infrastructure Levy: Background and Context'.								
Question 13: Do you agree with the approach to payment in kind?								
Yes No								
Please add any comments below								
Maranana and in a factor of the character of the character of								
My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the boxes): Broadland								
HOHOIK								

Neighbourhoods and CIL									
The Government proposes that neighbourhoods where development takes place will receive a 'meaningful proportion' of CIL revenue to spend on infrastructure projects locally. The local community will be able to decide how this money should be spent as long as it is used for infrastructure.									
The government website at www.	•	nsulting o	n this prop	oosal wh	ich can b	e found its			
The consultation and Town Coun Parish or Town developed.	cils will take on	this respond	onsibility.	In Norwi	ch, where	e there are no			
Question 14a:	CIL receipts be	e passed cil in the t	to local co two rural d	ommunitio listricts) v	es (e.g. the who expre	hat 5% of the net he Parish Council ess an interest in			
Yes \square	No								
Please add any									
My answer appl	ies to: (please r	mark one	or more o	f the box	es):				
Broadland	Norwich		South Norfolk		All				
Question 14b: Do you have any views about how the CIL which will be made available for the local community in Norwich, where there are no Parish or Town Councils, should be administered?									
Please add any	comments belo)W							

Other comments						
Question 15: Do you have any other comments on the Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule(s) or the Community Infrastructure Levy?						
Yes No						
Please add any comments below						
My answer applies to: (please mark one or more of the	e boxes):					
South F						
Broadland Norwich Norfolk	All					
For paper copies of this form please email cil@gndp.o	ara uk or tolonhono 01602					
430144	org.uk or telephone oroos					
Please return the form to:	OFFICE USE ONLY:					
Please return the form to:	OFFICE USE ONLY.					
Email: cil@gndp.org.uk	Date received:					
Post: Greater Norwich Development Partnership						
PO Box 3466	December					
Norwich NR7 0NX	Representation no:					
Forms can also be delivered by hand to:						
to your local district council office or to the County Council:						
to your local district obtained on to the Gourty Gourion.						
Broadland District Council, Thorpe Lodge, 1 Yarmouth Road, Norwich NR7 0DU						
Norwich City Council, City Hall, St Peter's Street, Norwich, NR2 1NH						
South Norfolk Council, South Norfolk House, Swan Lane, Long Stratton, NR15						
2XE						
ALL FORMS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5PM ON MO	ONDAY 14 NOVEMBER 2011					

For more information or if you require this document in another format or language, please contact the GNDP:

email: cil@gndp.org.uk **tel:** 01603 430144

