
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 June 2010 15:20
To: Eastaugh, Sandra; Charles, Ruth; Baxter, Amy
Subject: RE: GNDP EM

The Inspectors want me to let you know that they accept your response as a helpful record of the position that you held going into the EM, although their subsequent letter to you is an indication of what they consider to be the necessary steps to take things forward.

They are content to plan for a start to the hearings on w/c 25 October, but there would be no point to a PHM on 18 October as this would be far too late to affect anything.

As my guidance material for the PHM made clear, the Inspectors intend to provide participants with an opportunity to respond in writing to the list of matters that we will identify for the hearings. We plan to issue this on or about 16 August, by which time any proposed changes will, by your own timetable, have been advertised, with responses to be submitted within 4 weeks (ie by 13 September).

Our current thought is that we could probably dispense with the need for a PHM as the material I prepared for the earlier meeting covers the ground. It could be amended or updated as necessary and reissued with the invitation to respond to the matters. And anyone seeking further clarification of procedures from me could be invited to do so.