

**Charles, Ruth**

---

**From:** Annette Feeney [annette.feeney@broadland.gov.uk]  
**Sent:** 24 October 2012 15:26  
**To:** Charles, Ruth  
**Cc:** Baxter, Amy; Eastaugh, Sandra  
**Subject:** CIL

**FURTHER MATERIAL FROM THE GNDP**

Further to the note relating to the discussion on day 1 please provide clarity in relation to 2 points

1) The argument outlined in paragraph 5 that the S106 monies can be added to the CIL "pot" depends on whether the S106s have been specifically based on providing infrastructure of the sort that CIL is intended to fund. Can you please confirm whether this is the case and that the S106 arrangements do not relate to the provision of other non-infrastructure matters such as affordable housing.

2) Noting the reference to a "residual S106" for free market dwellings please clarify 2 matters. First, given the intention to retain some S106 requirements what proportion of the S106 monies raised previously should be excluded from the CIL pot and what is this based on. Second when considering the quantum of the extra money in the CIL "pot" arising from previous S106 monies should the calculation be based on an amount per dwelling or an amount per free-market dwelling.

Can you please ensure this email is placed on the web site and I will circulate to participants accordingly.

Annette Feeney